Appendix A

Data Tables

Haziness Index in U.S. National Parks for the Clearest Days, 1990 - 1999: Average of Best 20 percent days, in deciviews (dv)

Haziness Index in U.S. National Parks for the Haziest Days, 1990 -1999: Average of Worst 20 percent days, in deciviews (dv)

Precipitation-Weighted Mean Sulfate Ion Concentration in U.S. National Parks, 1990 - 1999: Annual Average in μ eq/liter

Sulfate Ion Wet Deposition in U.S. National Parks, 1990 - 1999: Annual Average in kilograms/hectare

Precipitation-Weighted Mean Nitrate Ion Concentration in U.S. National Parks, 1990 - 1999: Annual Average in μ eq/liter

Inorganic Nitrogen Wet Deposition From Nitrate and Ammonium in U.S. National Parks, 1990 -1999: Annual Average in kilograms/hectare

Ozone Levels in U.S. National Parks, 1990 - 1999: Average of the Daily 1-hour Maximum, May-September, in ppb

Ozone Levels in U.S. National Parks, 1990 - 1999: Annual 4th Highest 8-hour Average, in ppb

	Hazir 1990 –	ness In 1999: <i>I</i>										/)		
	ear 199			1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	Avg	Status	Trend	Slope, dv/yr
Acadia, ME	10.6	10.7	10.2	10.6	9.8	9.6	9.1	9.7	9.3	8.7	9.8	•	Ψ	-0.20
Badlands, ND	7.6	7.4	7.2	7.4	7.9	6.6	7.9	7.1	7.4	6.6	7.3	0	Û	-0.07
Bandelier, NM	=	_	_	7	6.7	5.9	6.0	6.3	6.8	6.7	6.5	0	①	+0.00
Big Bend, TX	8.4	8.2	7.5	7.7	8.5	7.8	-	6.9	9.3	8.8	8.1	•	企	+0.06
Bryce Canyon, UT	4.9	5.0	5.7	4.8	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.7		$oldsymbol{\Psi}$	-0.07
Canyonlands, UT	5.9	6.2	6.3	6	6.5	5.7	4.9	6.0	5.8	5.8	5.9	\odot	Ψ	-0.04
Chiricahua, AZ	_	6.8	6.6	6.4	6.6	6.8	6.4	6.7	6.6	6.4	6.6	0	$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	-0.02
Crater Lake, OR	_	_	5.1	5.1	_	3.7	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.4		Ψ	-0.14
Denali, AK	_	3.5	3.4	3.7	3.4	3.2	3.7	4.1	3.1	3.2	3.5		$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	-0.03
Glacier, MT	8.0	9.8	8.9	9.0	8.5	7.9	8	7.9	8.3	7.5	8.4	•	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.20
Grand Canyon, AZ	_	_	-	5.7	5.3	3.9	4.0	4.4	4.8	5.2	5.1	•	_	+0.00
Great Basin, NV	5.1	5.5	-	5.1	4.9	5.0	5.1	5.0	5.0	5.3	4.8		$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	-0.02
Great Sand Dunes, CO	6.6	6.7	6.3	6.1	5.4	4.8	4.9	5.3	6.6	5.5	5.8	\odot	$oldsymbol{\Psi}$	-0.17
Great Smoky Mts., TN/N0	15.3	13.8	13.6	14.4	13.8	13.5	15.3	15.1	14.4	15.2	14.4		企	+0.09
Guadalupe Mts., TX	_	_	7.3	8.0	7.5	8.3	7.8	7.2	7.5	7.6	7.7	0	$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	-0.01
Lassen Volcanic, CA	4.5	4.3	4.7	5.1	4.4	3.9	4.0	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.4		$oldsymbol{\Psi}$	-0.06
Mammoth Cave, KY	_	_	16.3	17.3	_	15.5	16	16.8	16.2	16.1	16.3		$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	-0.03
Mesa Verde, CO	5.5	6.1	5.6	5.7	6.3	4.9	5.0	_	5.9	5.7	5.6	•	企	+0.01
Mt. Rainier, WA	_	7.0	7.2	7.5	6.3	5.0	5.4	5.5	5.0	5.3	6.0	•	$oldsymbol{\Psi}$	-0.28
Petrified Forest, AZ	_	8.0	7.6	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.1	6.9	6.8	6.7	6.7	0	Û	-0.10
Pinnacles, CA	9.4	9.3	9.1	8.7	9.4	8.3	8.0	8.9	-	8.7	8.9	•	$oldsymbol{\Psi}$	-0.12
Point Reyes, CA	9.1	8.8	8.6	9.5	8.1	7.9	8.1	_	8.7	8.9	8.6	•	$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	-0.08
Redwood, CA	6.7	6.8	6.9	6.7	6.3	6.6	5.3	6.1	5.5	6.2	6.3	\odot	$oldsymbol{\Psi}$	-0.10
Rocky Mountain, CO	4.3	4.1	3.9	4.5	5.0	4.3	3.9	4.2	4.8	3.9	4.3		_	+0.00
Shenandoah, VA	14.1	13.4	12.6	14.2	12.3	12.8	14.2	13.5	11.8	11.9	13.1		$oldsymbol{\Psi}$	-0.15
Tonto, AZ	_	8.2	_	7.7	7.2	7.7	7.7	7.6	7.0	8.1	7.7	0	Û	-0.04
Yellowstone, WY	_	_	5.9	5.2	4.7	4.8	5	_	_	3.8	4.9		$oldsymbol{\Psi}$	-0.23
Yosemite, CA	5.4	5.6	4.8	4.8	4.5	5.3	4.6	5.5	4.7	5.0	5.0	•	$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	-0.02
Avera	ige 7.7	7.5	7.6	7.5	6.9	6.8	6.8	7.2	7.1	7.0	7.2			
Symbols:			"–" inc	licates	insuffic	ent or r	no data	, or no	trend					
Park Air Quality S	<u>tatus</u>								<u>Trend</u>					
Much Worse than NPS	Average	1	Significant Improvement								$oldsymbol{\Psi}$			
Worse than NPS Av	/erage		•					Imp	roveme	ent			$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	
NPS Average			0					仓						
Better than NPS Av	erage		•	 Degradation Significant Degradation** 								1		
Much Better than NPS	Average							N	o Tren	d			_	
							**S	tatistica	ılly sign	ificant	at a=0.	15		

Haziness Index in U.S. National Parks for the Haziest Days 1990 – 1999: Average of Worst 20 percent days, in deciviews (dv)														
Street NA	1990	1991	1992		1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	Avg	Status	Trend	Slope, dv/yr
Acadia, ME	24.9	24.8	26.2	26.2	27.4	23.5	24.0	23.1	23.9	24.2	24.8	•	Ψ	-0.15
Badlands, ND	17.9	18.1	18.4	17.3	18.2	17.2	17.3	17.0	19.0	17.1	17.8	0	$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	-0.09
Bandelier, NM	_	_	_	13.1	12.5	13.0	12.7	13.1	14.4	12.8	13.1	•	①	+0.05
Big Bend, TX	16.2	17.1	16.3	16.8	17.4	17.5	_	17.3	20.9	19.3	17.6	0	1	+0.35
Bryce Canyon, UT	11.4	11.5	11.2	12.1	11.5	11.1	12.9	12.4	11.5	11.7	11.7		仓	+0.04
Canyonlands, UT	12.9	14.1	13.2	12.5	11.9	11.2	12.8	11.9	12.2	11.8	12.5		Ψ	-0.17
Chiricahua, AZ	_	13.1	13.2	13.7	14.0	14.1	13.4	12.9	15.1	13.0	13.6	•	①	+0.06
Crater Lake, OR	_	_	13.3	13.8	_	12.8	15.6	12.1	13.4	13.5	13.5	\odot	①	+0.02
Denali, AK	_	12.3	9.2	11.2	10.4	9.4	9.5	12.1	8.2	9.3	10.2		Ψ	-0.35
Glacier, MT	19.5	19.6	19.1	19.0	19.6	18.1	17.9	17.4	20.4	19.4	19.0	•	Û	-0.17
Grand Canyon, AZ	13.5	11.7	_	11.9	11.8	11.8	12.1	11.3	12.6	12.1	12.1		①	+0.01
Great Basin, NV	_	_	_	12.0	11.4	10.8	12.9	11.0	11.6	11.9	11.7		①	+0.05
Great Sand Dunes, CO	13.9	12.7	11.4	12.1	15.3	11.8	12.5	11.9	13.2	12.5	12.7		Û	-0.02
Great Smoky Mts., TN/NC	32.8	29.6	30.7	30.9	31.6	30.6	31.2	30.9	31.8	30.5	31.1		_	+0.00
Guadalupe Mts., TX	-	_	14.7	15.4	16.2	16.2	15.2	16.6	17.8	18.1	16.3	0	^	+0.46
Lassen Volcanic, CA	13.3	13.0	13.5	13.3	13.6	12.8	13.4	12.1	15.4	20.7	14.1	•	Û	+0.10
Mammoth Cave, KY	_	_	30.7	31.5	_	30.3	30.5	29.9	30.5	29.6	30.4		$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.16
Mesa Verde, CO	12.6	11.5	11.2	12.0	11.8	11.9	12.7	_	12.2	13.9	12.2		^	+0.12
Mt. Rainier, WA	-	21.0	20.7	20.0	20.2	18.7	18.9	18.6	20.3	19.7	19.8	•	Ψ.	-0.26
Petrified Forest, AZ	_	13.6	13.0	12.6	12.3	13.0	12.6	12.7	13.7	13.4	13.0	•	仓	+0.02
Pinnacles, CA	19.5	19.1	19.0	18.3	17.7	18.5	17.9	17.7	_	19.3	18.6	•	Ψ	-0.20
Point Reyes, CA	20.8	21.1	21.1	20.9	20.4	20.2	20.1	_	19.6	21.8	20.7	•	Ψ	-0.15
Redwood, CA	19.7	18.9	19.7	18.0	17.3	18.5	18.0	18.9	16.7	20.1	18.6	•	Û	-0.15
Rocky Mountain, CO	13.9	13.1	13.1	12.9	13.4	13.3	13.3	12.4	13.4	12.4	13.1	•	Û	-0.09
Shenandoah, VA	30.9	32.4	31.3	32.6	31.9	30.4	29.3	29.9	30.3	28.4	30.7		Ψ	-0.30
Tonto, AZ	_	14.2	=	15.3	13.8	15.2	14.8	14.2	14.9	15.4	14.7	0	仓	+0.08
Yellowstone, WY	_	P0	13.2	11.9	14.8	11.7	14.9	_	_	11.8	13.1	•	Û	-0.02
Yosemite, CA	16.3	16.1	17.3	15.1	16.8	17.5	19.6	15.7	15.7	22.0	17.2	0	仓	+0.23
Average	18.2	17.2	17.5	16.9	16.7	16.5	16.9	16.5	17.3	17.3	16.9			
Symbols:			"–" ind	licates	insuffici	ient or ı	no data	, or no	trend					
Park Air Quality Statu	<u>is</u>								Trend					
Much Worse than NPS Av	/erage		•	Significant Improvement**									Ψ	
Worse than NPS Avera	age		o	Improvement									$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	
NPS Average			0	Degradation <u></u>										
Better than NPS Avera	age		•	Significant Degradation**										
Much Better than NPS Av	erage		•					N	o Tren	d			_	
							**S	tatistica	ally sign	ificant	at a=0.	15		

Precipitation-Weighted Mean Sulfate Ion Concentration in U.S. National Parks 1990 – 1999: Annual Average in μeq/liter Slope, Park Year 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1999 Avg Status Trend μeq/l/yr T Acadia, ME 30.9 24.1 30.9 23.7 23.0 23.1 20.3 29.4 25.1 19.4 25.0 -0.72Û Bandelier, NM 16.6 13.9 13.7 13.4 12.1 16.5 14.7 14.5 0 -0.2815.4 14.5 22.4 23.4 0 仓 +0.76 Big Bend, TX 16.4 16.6 14.3 27.9 29.6 22.7 20.2 21.5 Bryce Canyon, UT 14.3 14.0 11.9 _ 15.2 10.8 9.2 12.5 0 -0.56Buffalo River, AR 25.9 23.1 20.8 23.5 23.2 24.3 19.5 22.8 0 -0.56_ 21.9 Cape Cod, MA 31.2 31.4 27.1 33.8 32.0 _ 31.1 Capulin Volcano, NM 14.8 14.1 16.9 15.1 15.0 13.4 17.8 10.1 13.5 14.5 0 -0.26Craters of the Moon, ID 11.4 10.7 9.6 8.2 8.6 4.9 7.2 6.8 8.7 0 -0.7112.9 6.5 3.8 Denali, AK 3.5 4.1 3.8 2.5 2.4 3.5 2.3 2.2 3.2 -0.143.9 0 仓 Everglades, FL 15.2 14.0 15.8 14.5 15.4 16.8 13.4 15.0 +0.04 _ Û Gila Cliff Dwellings, NM 21.3 15.6 19.0 20.5 18.4 16.8 18.1 22.8 17.7 19.3 18.9 0 -0.137.1 7.0 6.1 -0.22Glacier, MT 7.2 8.1 7.8 7.6 5.4 4.9 5.3 6.6 Û Grand Canyon, AZ 14.6 10.2 10.2 12.4 8.8 11.0 9.0 11.5 10.9 0 -0.22Great Basin, NV 14.8 11.8 16.5 12.4 11.0 10.1 14.3 10.1 12.6 0 -0.51 Great Smoky Mts., TN/NC 32.0 36.1 30.1 33.9 24.3 20.9 25.0 30.2 28.6 24.0 28.5 -0.99 Guadalupe Mts., TX 13.7 24.1 22.7 26.8 20.1 36.6 23.4 27.9 25.1 24.5 +1.14 66.8 57.0 48.3 56.2 47.3 47.1 50.1 49.2 53.3 -0.98 Indiana Dunes, IN 51.3 59.6 0 Isle Royale (Chassell), MI 26.8 25.6 29.9 22.4 21.4 21.0 18.4 16.5 18.9 19.2 22.0 -1.27Little Big Horn, MT 16.4 12.7 14.6 13.9 13.8 11.1 12.6 13.3 12.8 10.6 13.2 0 -0.44Û Mesa Verde, CO 27.3 21.1 18.7 16.0 21.2 18.1 20.6 16.7 18.6 20.9 19.9 0 -0.28-0.24 North Cascades, WA 6.1 6.8 6.3 6.5 4.4 5.2 5.0 4.2 4.9 5.5 仓 +0.07 Olympic, WA 4.5 5.0 5.2 5.0 4.7 _ 5.3 4.3 5.7 4.9 16.8 16.9 10.8 11.9 16.6 28.5 16.8 14.5 15.6 0 飠 +0.09 Organ Pipe Cactus, AZ 7.6 _ 0 Rocky Mountain, CO 13.7 14.6 14.8 11.5 16.1 12.8 13.1 10.5 13.5 11.6 13.2 -0.25Sequoia, CA 10.2 5.7 8.0 5.2 5.2 3.9 2.4 2.9 4.9 5.4 -0.66Shenandoah, VA 31.2 34.5 23.0 30.9 29.2 _ 28.4 29.3 _ 27.7 29.3 -0.390 Theo. Roosevelt, ND 24.0 16.8 18.4 17.3 20.0 16.7 15.8 14.7 18.0 -0.540 Yellowstone, WY 12.0 11.0 8.1 8.6 9.7 5.8 4.8 6.9 6.7 7.2 8.1 -0.57Ú Yosemite, CA 5.2 3.5 4.5 2.7 2.3 2.8 4.6 3.6 3.6 -0.16Average 19.5 16.7 18.1 17.3 16.7 15.3 16.3 16.2 14.8 15.6 16.7 Symbols: "-" indicates insufficient or no data Park Air Quality Status Trend Significant Improvement** Much Worse than NPS Average Û 0 Worse than NPS Average Improvement 0 仓 **NPS Average** Degradation Better than NPS Average 0 Significant Degradation* Much Better than NPS Average No Trend Statistically significant at a=0.15

					-					Parks ectare						
Park Yea	r 1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	Avg	Status	Trend	Slope, Kg/ha/y		
Acadia, ME	22.7	16.5	18.1	16.9	15.9	16.5	15.0	16.2	17.7	12.5	16.8		Ψ	-0.51		
Bandelier, NM	3.2	3.7	2.6	3.3	2.9	2.1	2.0	4.0	_	2.8	3.0	0	$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	-0.09		
Big Bend, TX	_	3.9	3.0	2.3	3.2	3.1	3.0	3.2	2.7	2.1	3.0	0	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.14		
Bryce Canyon, UT	2.4	9-	-	_	2.2	2.2	3,3	3.3	2.7	1.5	2.4	•	$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	-0.04		
Buffalo River, AR	-	_	12.7	13.0	12.1	11.3	13.1	10.1	10.8	8.9	11.5	•	$oldsymbol{\Psi}$	-0.56		
Cape Cod, MA	16.3	-	16.4	19.6	_	16.4	_	_	_	13.5	16.4		_	-		
Capulin Volcano, NM	3.3	3.9	3.8	4.1	3.4	3.8	4.3	_	2.3	3.5	3.6	0	$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	-0.03		
Craters of the Moon, ID	1.8	1.7	1.0	2.0	0.9	1.9	0.8	1.0	1.6	0.7	1.3		Ψ	-0.08		
Denali, AK	1.1	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.6		Ψ	-0.06		
Everglades, FL	9.1	10.8	_	-	13.0	12.1	9.2	_	13.3	9.9	11.1	•	①	+0.09		
Gila Cliff Dwellings, NM	3.8	3.3	4.3	4.6	3.4	2.5	3.2	4.7	2.4	2.7	3.5	0	$oldsymbol{\Psi}$	-0.12		
Glacier, MT	3.9	2.4	2.8	3.1	2.5	2.7	2.7	2.4	2.3	1.7	2.6	0	$oldsymbol{\Psi}$	-0.15		
Grand Canyon, AZ	3.0	_	2.2	2.1	1.8	2.1	1.7	_	1.9	1.9	2.1	•	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.06		
Great Basin, NV	2.7	1.9	1.8	_	2.1	2.0	1.8	2.6	1.9	_	2.1	\odot	$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	-0.01		
Great Smoky Mts., TN/NC	24.7	28.0	22.2	25.9	22.4	14.5	23.6	27.2	22.4	16.7	22.8	•	$oldsymbol{\Psi}$	-0.72		
Guadalupe Mts., TX	_	4.2	5.7	4.0	4.0	4.0	8.5	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.9	•	①	+0.06		
Indiana Dunes, IN	34.4	28.1	25.1	33.7	19.5	22.7	25.6	20.4	23.6	17.6	25.1		$oldsymbol{\Psi}$	-1.31		
Isle Royale (Chassell), MI	10.5	11.0	10.1	7.8	6.9	8.9	7.8	5.4	6.5	8.0	8.3	•	$oldsymbol{\Psi}$	-0.52		
Little Big Horn, MT	2.2	2.1	2.4	2.3	1.9	1.8	1.8	2.0	2.1	1.5	2.0	•	V	-0.07		
Mesa Verde, CO	5.6	5.0	4.5	4.0	4.7	3.7	4.5	4.1	3.8	2.9	4.3	•	$oldsymbol{\Psi}$	-0.22		
North Cascades, WA	8.4	6.3	5.1	4.8	_	5.0	5.6	6.4	3.9	5.5	5.7	•	$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	-0.20		
Olympic, WA	_	7.2	7.1	6.1	8.2	6.9	-	10.9	8.1	11.5	8.3	•	^	+0.42		
Organ Pipe Cactus, AZ	2.6	1.7	2.2	1.1	1.7	1.6	2.0	1.8	_	1.5	1.8	•	Û	-0.08		
Rocky Mountain, CO	3.1	2.7	2.6	2.3	2.7	3.3	2.3	2.5	2.8	3.0	2.7	0	①	+0.01		
Sequoia, CA	2.6	1.9	2.8	2.8	2.1	2.9	1.8	1.2	3.6	_	2.4	•	仓	+0.02		
Shenandoah, VA	23.6	17.8	18.7	22.4	19.3	_	23.4	17.8	_	18.8	20.2		Û	-0.07		
Theo. Roosevelt, ND	3.5	2.9	2.8	3.8	4.2	3.9	2.8	_	_	2.1	3.3	0	Û	-0.09		
Yellowstone, WY	2.1	2.4	1.8	1.6	1.7	1.1	1.0	1.6	1.1	1.3	1.6	•	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.12		
Yosemite, CA	_	2.5	1.5	_	1.8	2.5	2.1	0.9	3.8	1.7	2.1	•	Û	-0.01		
Average	e 8.2	7.4	6.8	7.5	6.6	6.2	6.9	6.9	6.5	5.7	6.7					
Symbols:		i	"–" indi	cates ir	nsufficie	ent or n	o data,	or no t	rend							
Park Air Quality Statu	<u>is</u>								Trend							
Much Worse than NPS Av	/erage						Sig	gnificar	nt Impro	vemen	t**		$oldsymbol{\Psi}$			
Worse than NPS Avera	age		•					Imp	orovem	ent			Û			
NPS Average			0						仓							
Better than NPS Avera	age		•				Si		^							
Much Better than NPS Av	/erage		•					N	lo Tren	d			_			
							**S	tatistica	ally siar	nificant	at a=0.	15				

Precipitat	ion-W	•	ed Me 90 – 1								lation	al Park	s	
Park Year	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	_	1999	Avg	Status	Trend	Slope, μeq/l/yr
Acadia, ME	15.3	11.1	16.4	12.3	10.2	11.5	11.3	15.9	12.7	10.8	12.7	•	Û	-0.19
Bandelier, NM	12.5	12.2	12.3	12.2	13.8	16.7	11.6	17.0	_	17.4	14.0	0	1	+0.60
Big Bend, TX	_	10.3	10.2	10.7	17.7	15.5	13.5	13.3	16.8	13.1	13.5	0	仓	+0.47
Bryce Canyon, UT	15.4	_	_	-	14.1	10.7	_	15.4	11.4	15.0	13.7	0	$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	-0.04
Buffalo River, AR	_	_	14.2	14.1	12.4	14.4	14.8	15.4	13.8	13.2	14.0	0	_	0.00
Cape Cod, MA	15.2	-	17.2	13.6	_	19.5	-		_	13.4	15.8	•	_	_
Capulin Volcano, NM	13.5	12.7	15.8	14.9	16.4	14.0	17.5	_	12.1	15.1	14.7	•	仓	+0.23
Craters of the Moon, ID	9.8	11.6	12.5	9.8	14.3	10.7	6.8	11.1	11.5	10.7	10.9	•	_	0.00
Denali, AK	1.8	4.2	2.3	2.4	2.8	1.4	1.4	3.3	1.3	2.0	2.3		$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	-0.07
Everglades, FL	9.6	8.4	_	_	9.3	8.2	8.5	_	8.4	8.0	8.6	•	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.15
Gila Cliff Dwellings, NM	12.9	9.3	9.9	11.2	12.2	11.8	14.2	13.5	12.6	17.1	12.5	•	1	+0.64
Glacier, MT	5.8	6.3	6.8	6.3	7.4	5.1	5.7	7.7	5.9	5.7	6.3		$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	-0.01
Grand Canyon, AZ	16.9	_	11.9	10.7	15.9	10.4	15.0	_	10.2	18.5	13.7	0	$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	-0.11
Great Basin, NV	20.0	15.2	19.9	_	16.9	12.2	14.7	17.6	15.1	_	16.4	•	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.46
Great Smoky Mts., TN/NC	13.3	14.1	14.9	15.8	12.1	13.2	13.2	15.3	15.4	13.0	14.0	0	①	+0.03
Guadalupe Mts., TX	_	8.7	15.1	13.6	18.0	14.2	11.8	14.4	15.5	18.2	14.4	•	1	+0.54
Indiana Dunes, IN	21.6	29.4	31.2	26.3	28.2	33.3	26.7	29.7	27.6	28.3	28.2		①	+0.26
Isle Royale (Chassell), MI	16.7	17.0	18.2	16.5	19.2	18.5	17.6	17.4	17.2	17.8	17.6		企	+0.08
Little Big Horn, MT	13.1	11.4	10.8	10.9	11.8	10.2	13.6	14.9	14.8	12.7	12.4	•	^	+0.25
Mesa Verde, CO	19.4	14.3	14.1	11.9	17.8	14.1	19.5	15.4	14.7	21.9	16.3	•	①	+0.23
North Cascades, WA	4.9	5.0	5.2	5.7	_	3.8	4.9	4.8	4.3	4.6	4.8		$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.06
Olympic, WA	_	1.6	1.6	1.8	1.5	1.8	_	1.8	1.3	1.2	1.6		$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	-0.04
Organ Pipe Cactus, AZ	15.8	11.6	9.4	4.5	8.2	12.8	23.1	14.4	_	19.0	13.2	0	仓	+0.97
Rocky Mountain, CO	15.8	16.3	17.1	14.2	20.9	16.5	17.2	15.1	18.7	16.7	16.8		①	+0.15
Sequoia, CA	22.0	8.4	13.0	7.6	11.2	6.3	3.4	6.7	8.2	_	9.6	•	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.96
Shenandoah, VA	12.9	15.0	10.0	13.2	14.1	-	15.9	14.7	_	12.7	13.6	0	①	+0.19
Theo. Roosevelt, ND	14.2	14.7	13.3	12.1	16.1	15.0	15.7	-	_	14.6	14.4	•	仓	+0.17
Yellowstone, WY	11.6	9.7	8.5	8.1	10.3	7.7	6.7	9.5	8.1	9.7	9.0	•	$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	-0.23
Yosemite, CA	_	6.8	6.1	-	7.8	4.0	2.8	5.7	9.6	7.5	6.3		企	+0.14
Average	13.7	11.4	12.5	11.1	13.1	11.9	12.6	13.5	12.4	13.2	12.5			
Symbols:			"_	–" indic	ates in	sufficie	nt or no	data						
Park Air Quality Status	<u>i</u>								Trend					
Much Worse than NPS Ave	erage			Significant Improvement [™]									$\mathbf{\Psi}$	
Worse than NPS Average	ge		o					Imp	orovem	ent			$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	
NPS Average			0	Degradation 🕆										
Better than NPS Averag	je		•	Significant Degradation**										
Much Better than NPS Ave	rage		•					N	lo Tren	d			_	
							**S	tatistica	ally sigr	ificant	at a=0.	15		

Inorganic N	litroge										m in l ectare		lationa	l Parks	
Park Ye	ear 19	990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	Avg	Status	Trend	Slope, Kg/ha/yr
Acadia, ME	4	.9	3.1	4.2	3.6	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.6	2.9	3.7	•	Û	-0.08
Bandelier, NM	1	.3	1.5	1.1	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.1	2.0	-	1.5	1.4	0	企	+0.03
Big Bend, TX		_	1.4	1.1	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.3	0.8	1.1	0	$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	-0.04
Bryce Canyon, UT	1	.3	_	_	_	1.0	0.9	_	1.6	1.2	1.1	1.2	0	Û	-0.01
Buffalo River, AR		_	-	3.6	4.0	3.8	4.2	4.7	3.2	3.9	3.2	3.8		$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	-0.04
Cape Cod, MA	3	.1	_	3.5	3.5	_	4.4	_	_	_	2.7	3.4	o	_	7 <u> </u>
Capulin Volcano, NM	1	.9	2.0	2.3	2.2	2.1	2.3	2.5	_	1.5	2.4	2.1	0	1	+0.05
Craters of the Moon, ID	0	.9	1.2	0.8	1.2	0.9	1.4	0.7	1.0	1.5	0.8	1.0	•	_	0.00
Denali, AK	0	.3	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	•	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.02
Everglades, FL	3	.0	2.6	_	_	4.0	3.4	2.4	_	4.1	2.8	3.2	•	企	+0.03
Gila Cliff Dwellings, NM	1	.2	0.9	1.2	1.2	1.0	0.9	1.3	1.3	0.8	1.1	1.1	•	$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	-0.01
Glacier, MT	1	.7	0.9	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.2	1.0	0.9	1.2	0	$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	-0.04
Grand Canyon, AZ	1	.7	_	1.2	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.0	-	1.0	1.5	1.2	0	Û	-0.02
Great Basin, NV	1	.9	1.2	1.2	-	1.5	1.2	1.4	1.7	1.4	_	1.4	0	_	0.00
Great Smoky Mts., TN/NO	5	.4	5.4	4.7	5.6	5.5	4.6	5.8	6.7	5.9	4.3	5.4		①	+0.07
Guadalupe Mts., TX		_	1.4	2.0	1.5	1.7	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.6	2.0	1.7	0	仓	+0.01
Indiana Dunes, IN	8	.0	7.4	6.3	8.3	6.2	7.4	8.4	6.5	7.1	5.3	7.1		$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.14
Isle Royale (Chassell), MI	1 4	.1	3.9	3.4	3.1	3.4	4.4	4.0	3.0	3.3	3.9	3.7	o	$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	-0.02
Little Big Horn, MT	1	.0	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.3	1.1	1.1	•	1	+0.02
Mesa Verde, CO	1	.7	1.4	1.6	1.3	1.7	1.4	2.1	1.6	1.3	1.3	1.5	0	$oldsymbol{\Psi}$	-0.02
North Cascades, WA	3	.2	1.8	1.6	1.8	_	1.8	2.4	2.4	1.4	1.9	2.0	0	$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	-0.01
Olympic, WA			1.0	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.3	_	1.5	1.0	1.3	1.2	0	1	+0.05
Organ Pipe Cactus, AZ	1	.6	0.6	1.1	0.4	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.7	1.2	1.1	0.9		仓	+0.02
Rocky Mountain, CO	2	.0	1.6	1.7	1.6	1.8	2.2	1.7	1.8	2.1	2.4	1.9	0	1	+0.07
Sequoia, CA	3	.7	1.8	3.7	2.9	2.9	3.1	1.7	1.6	4.3	_	2.8	•	Û	-0.06
Shenandoah, VA	5	.3	4.1	4.3	5.1	5.0	-	6.6	4.6	-	4.6	4.9		①	-0.02
Theo. Roosevelt, ND	1	.5	1.5	1.3	1.6	2.2	2.5	1.9	_	_	1.3	1.7	0	①	+0.05
Yellowstone, WY	1	.1	1.1	1.1	0.9	1.0	8.0	8.0	1.1	0.7	1.0	1.0		$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.02
Yosemite, CA	9	_	1.6	1.5	_	1.7	2.3	1.4	1.0	5.0	2.3	2.1	o	①	0.08
Avera	ige 2	.6	2.0	2.1	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.4	2.2	2.4	2.1	2.2			
Symbols:				"–" indi	cates ir	nsufficie	ent or n	o data,	or no t	rend					
Park Air Quality Sta	atus									Trend					
Much Worse than NPS	Averag	je						Sig	gnificar	nt Impro	vemen	t**		$oldsymbol{\Psi}$	
Worse than NPS Ave	erage		•						Imp	rovem	ent			$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	
NPS Average				0					①						
Better than NPS Ave	erage			•					^						
Much Better than NPS	Averag	е							N	lo Tren	d			_	
								**S	tatistica	ally sigr	ificant	at a=0.	15		

										1990 – 1999: Annual 4 th Highest 8-hour Average, in ppb Park Year 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 Avg Status Trend Slope													
Park Y	ear 1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	Avg	Status	Trend	ppb/y									
Acadia, ME	89	95	80	80	74	92	73	77	88	92	84	•	$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	-0.7									
Big Bend, TX	-	57	61	63	69	65	73	63	70	64	65	•	1	+1.2									
Canyonlands, UT	_	_	60	63	68	63	74	67	71	73	67	\odot	1	+1.8									
Cape Cod, MA	97	111	96	88	88	105	96	100	84	101	97		①	-0.5									
Chamizal, TX	_	_	72	59	75	84	78	71	88	71	75	0	仓	+1.5									
Chiricahua, AZ	69	71	65	68	71	69	72	65	67	72	69	0	仓	+0.1									
Channel Islands, CA	56	80	81	_	_	-	75	63	66	69	70	0	$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	-1.0									
Congaree Swamp, SC	88	59	66	62	64	76	74	65	81	80	72	0	1	+1.7									
Cowpens, SC	74	77	85	82	82	84	80	90	96	94	84	•	1	+2.0									
Craters of the Moon, ID	-	_	42	55	63	57	64	60	65	68	59		1										
Denali, AK	48	49	50	48	49	53	53	51	54	54	51	•	1	+0.7									
Death Valley, CA	_	-	-	_	84	67	78	77	82	79	78	•	仓	+0.3									
Everglades, FL	60	58	61	64	64	58	63	66	72	67	63	\odot	1	+1.0									
Glacier, MT	50	51	51	44	55	43	57	40	53	50	49	•	_	+0.0									
Grand Canyon, AZ	65	72	68	64	69	69	73	72	72	76	70	0	↑	+1.0									
Great Basin, NV	-	- 70	-	51	69	67	74	74	70	72	68	0	↑	+1.7									
Great Smoky Mts., TN/N		79	88	88	93	99	88	98	110	106	94	•	↑	+2.6									
Joshua Tree, CA	95	107	106	92	112	103	109	117	110	101	105		Û	+0.8									
Lassen Volcanic, CA Mammoth Cave, KY	78 83	66 78	66 73	64 72	78 75	74 88	73 82	67 85	78 97	84 98	73 83	○	↑	+1.2 +2.5									
Mesa Verde, CO	-	-	-	58	62	63	72	62	68	69	65	©	T	+1.5									
Mount Rainier, WA	_	_	_	55	67	65	65	40	51	64	58		Û	-0.6									
Olympic, WA	46	41	46	42	41	44	46	45	41	43	44		_	+0.0									
Pinnacles, CA	83	84	84	82	78	83	94	76	88	82	83	•	Û	-0.1									
Rocky Mountain, CO	57	76	71	71	76	76	72	70	80	74	72	0	û	+0.5									
Saguaro, AZ	75	73	74	82	80	83	76	79	76	69	77	<u> </u>	_	+0.0									
Sequoia, CA	96	97	102	106	106	95	105	97	94	97	100		Û	-0.2									
Shenandoah, VA	86	83	77	83	83	87	81	89	107	93	87		^	+1.5									
Theo. Roosevelt, ND	62	60	57	55	57	58	59	71	56	58	59		Û	-0.2									
Voyageurs, MN	52	50	63	58	60	70	67	71	67	74	63	0	^	+2.4									
Yellowstone, WY	54	57	63	53	61	60	61	61	66	70	61	•	T	+1.3									
Yosemite, CA	78	98	91	-	94	91	90	81	94	85	89	•	Û	-1.7									
Aver	200 000	73	71	67	73	74	75	72	77	77	73												
Symbols:		50 500	mbers ir	RED e	xceed r	ational	ambien	t air qua	ality star	880 0	SE 75												
			"_"	indicate	s insuffi	cient or	no data																
Park Air Quality Statu Much Worse than NPS							Signif	<u>Tre</u> ficant In	<u>ina</u> nproven	nent**			4										
Worse than NPS A			O				Olgilli		•	OIII			Û										
NPS Average			1000 1000 1000									↔ Û											
Better than NPS A			 ○ Degradation Significant Degradation ** 																				
Much Better than NPS	-						Olgill	No T	(T				T										
Much Detter than NEC	, worage		•				**		rend nificant a	0 45													